



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_IJBcRR_4251
Title of the Manuscript:	Cardiac Tolerance Study of the Ethyl Acetate Fraction of Morinda morindoides (Baker) Milne-Redhead (Rubiaceae) Extract in Rabbit
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	For evaluate the possible cardiac damage could be necessary use specific biochemical markers as cardiac troponine or CK-MB, because the total CK and the GOT and GPT are not specific cardiac markers, in other hand the H-E staining is not too sensible for detect minor changes in the myocytes	We agree with your comment. Nevertheless, combination of different biochemical markers (enzymes and electrolytes) and histopathological study give an explorative view of EAcF1 on heart. This study will be completed by another study which includes specific markers as CK-MB, C-Troponin (I and T), C-Creatine protein, myoperoxidase...
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The investigations must be done using more specific and sensible methods	